

Jñānapraśamsā

Studia Indologica Universitatis Halensis

Band 22

Herausgegeben von

Petra Kieffer-Pülz und Andreas Pohlus, Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

unter Mitwirkung von Katrin Einicke

Alastair Gornall (ed.)

Jñānapraśaṁsā

In Praise of Knowledge:
Essays in Honour of E. G. Kahrs

Gedruckt mit Mitteln des Seminars für Indologie und Südasienkunde
der Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg

Die Deutsche Nationalbibliothek verzeichnet diese Publikation in
der Deutschen Nationalbibliografie; detaillierte bibliografische
Daten sind im Internet über <http://dnb.d-nb.de> abrufbar.

© Universitätsverlag Halle-Wittenberg, Halle an der Saale 2022

Printed in Germany. Alle Rechte, auch die des Nachdrucks von Auszügen, der photomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, vorbehalten.

Umschlaggestaltung: Horst Stöllger – pixzcato, Hannover
Satz und Layout: Computus Druck, Satz & Verlag Dr. Jörn Kobes

ISBN 978-3-86977-254-7



E. G. Kahrs

(Photograph courtesy of Sudeshna Guha)

Tabula Gratulatoria

Anuja Ajotikar
Taruja Ajotikar
James Benson
George Cardona
Giovanni Ciotti
Whitney Cox
Daniele Cuneo
Hugo David
Mahesh Deokar
Michael S. Dodson
Faculty of Asian and Middle Eastern
Studies, University of Cambridge
Marco Ferrante
Camillo Formigatti
Jessica Frazier
Jonardon Ganeri
Gunilla Gren-Eklund
Oskar von Hinüber
Sarah Beth Hunt
Harunaga Isaacson
Stephanie W. Jamison
Yūto Kawamura

Varun Khanna
Petra Kieffer-Pülz
Nigel Leask
Julius Lipner
Sir G. E. R. Lloyd
James McHugh
Nina Mirning
Pali Text Society
Alessandra Petrocchi
Queens' College, University of Cam-
bridge
Kapil Raj
Ulrike Roesler
Martin A. Ruehl
Alexis Sanderson
Mark Singleton
Mishka Sinha
Peter Skilling
Prods Oktor Skjærvø
Rebecca Small
Péter-Dániel Szántó
Vincent Tournier

Contributors

Nalini Balbir is Emeritus Professor of Indology at Sorbonne-Nouvelle University Paris, and holds the chair in “Middle Indian Philology” at École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris. Her research focuses on Pali and Jaina studies.

Saroja Bhate was Professor of Sanskrit and Head of the Department of Sanskrit and Prakrit Languages, University of Pune, until 2002. She continues to study the Pāṇinian grammatical system and conducts online reading sessions on different grammatical texts.

Johannes Bronkhorst is Emeritus Professor in Sanskrit at the University of Lausanne. His work so far has been on the history of Indian thought and the psychology of religion.

Jean-Luc Chevillard is a researcher (CRHC) at the French CNRS (“Centre national de la recherche scientifique” / “National Centre for Scientific Research”) and a member of UMR 7597 (HTL). The main focus of his research is the history of the native Tamil śāstric tradition (grammar and lexicography).

Lata Mahesh Deokar is an Adjunct Professor in the Department of Pali and Buddhist Studies of the Savitribai Phule Pune University. Her research focuses on Sanskrit and Tibetan classical lexicons and Buddhist Sanskrit literature.

Paul Dundas was Reader in Sanskrit in the Department of Asian Studies, University of Edinburgh, where he is now an Honorary Fellow. His current research activity is in the areas of Prakrit and Jain history and literature.

Rupert Gethin is Emeritus Professor of Buddhist Studies at the University of Bristol. His research focuses on the history of Indian Buddhist thought, especially with reference to Pali literature.

Alastair Gornall is an Assistant Professor in History and Religion at the Singapore University of Technology and Design. His research focuses on the history of Theravāda Buddhism.

Malhar Kulkarni is the Sumati and Atmaram Kotwal Sanskrit Acharya Chair Professor of Sanskrit at the Indian Institute of Technology Bombay. His academic research focuses on Pāṇinian grammar, and he also composes original Sanskrit works on the topic, such as his forthcoming *Śabdasaṃskṛita*.

Antoine Panaïoti is an Assistant Professor of Philosophy at Toronto Metropolitan University. His research focuses on Early Madhyamaka thought, cross-cultural philosophy, and metaphilosophy.

Wendy J. Phillips Rodríguez is an Associate Professor at the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM). Her main research interest is Sanskrit textual transmission.

William Pruitt studied Burmese at INALCO, Paris, and Pali with K. R. Norman in Cambridge. He is the publications administrator for the Pali Text Society.

Aleix Ruiz-Falqués is a Lecturer in Pali and Head of the Department of Pali and Languages at the Shan State Buddhist University, Myanmar. His research focuses on Pali traditional philology and scholasticism in Burma.

Javier Schnake, Ph.D. (EPHE, 2018), is an independent researcher in Pali studies and an affiliate of the Pali Text Society. His research focuses essentially on Pali literature from Southeast Asia.

John D. Smith is Emeritus Reader in Sanskrit at the University of Cambridge. His work has been on Indian epic traditions in both Rajasthani (the epic of Pābūjī) and Sanskrit (the *Mahābhārata*).

Paolo Visigalli is an Associate Professor in South Asian Premodern History at the Shanghai Normal University, World History Department. His research focuses on early Indian texts and Chinese Buddhism.

Contents

Frontispiece	5
Tabula Gratulatoria	7
Contributors	9
1. E. G. Kahrs: A Bibliography Alastair GORNALL	13
2. Uses of monosyllables in the <i>Vidaddhamukhamāṇḍana</i> Nalini BALBIR and Javier SCHNAKE	21
3. Pāṇini and Pāṇinīyas on Anubandhas Saroja BHATE	51
4. Does Pāṇinian grammar have (a) history? Johannes BRONKHORST	83
5. On the transmission of Tamil poetical vocabularies, with a special focus on the <i>Tivākaram</i> and the <i>Cūṭāmaṇi Nikāṇtu</i> Jean-Luc CHEVILLARD	95
6. The Origin and Development of the <i>Subanta</i> Genre: Some Reflections With Special Reference to the <i>Cāndra</i> Grammatical School Lata Mahesh DEOKAR	115
7. Sectarian Confrontation as Theatrical Diversion: Observations on Yaśāś-candra's <i>Mudritakumudacandraprakaraṇa</i> and the Jain Debate at Aṇa-hillapaṭṭana Paul DUNDAS	127
8. What Upasīva asked and how the Buddha answered: On the <i>Upasīva-māṇavapucchā</i> (<i>Suttanipāta</i> vv. 1069–1076) and its commentaries Rupert GETHIN	161

9. A note on the emendation of the text of the <i>Mahābhāṣyadīpikā</i> in light of a quotation found in the <i>Sūktiratnākara</i> Malhar KULKARNI	183
10. Towards a Mādhyamika Error Theory of Causation Antoine PANAÏOTI	189
11. From Ancient India to Medieval Spain. Unexpected reincarnations of <i>karaṭakadamanakanāmānau dvau srgālau mantriputrau</i> Wendy J. PHILLIPS RODRÍGUEZ	217
12. The Letters from R. C. Childers to T. W. Rhys Davids: Edited with Notes William PRUITT	235
13. The Pali Version of Caṅgadāsa’s <i>Sambandhopadeśa</i> . A Preliminary Study of Yasa’s <i>Kaccāyanasāra</i> and Its Major Commentaries Aleix RUIZ-FALQUÉS	299
14. ‘This is not!’ — a <i>Mahābhārata</i> Idiom John D. SMITH	339
15. On the expression “ <i>pañcavidhaṃ niruktam/niruttim</i> ” Paolo VISIGALLI	351
Index	363

13. The Pali Version of Caṅgadāsa’s *Sambandhopadeśa*. A Preliminary Study of Yasa’s *Kaccāyanasāra* and Its Major Commentaries

Aleix Ruiz-Falqués

“Please send me per bearer the
fee for copying the
Kaccāyanasāra and
Kaccāyanasāra-yojanāva”
D. H. D. Silva to Rasmus Rask¹

1. Introduction

With the arrival of printing technology in Burma during the 19th century, a number of minor works that had so far been scattered in manuscript bundles were compiled in individual thematic volumes. Apart from minor works on Abhidhamma and Vinaya,² we also find a modern volume with the most important short or “minor” grammatical works of the Pali tradition.³ The Burmese term for these texts is *saddā-ñay*, which literally means “small grammar,” as opposed to the *saddā-krīl* “big grammar,” the popular name of the *Kaccāyanā* in Burmese monastic education.⁴ The

1 GODAKUMBURA 1980: 69.

2 For Abhidhamma *let-than* manuals, see HERNSENG 2020: 7; for Vinaya *let-than* manuals, see KIEFFER-PÜLZ 2015 and RUIZ-FALQUÉS 2019.

3 See LOTTERMOSER 1987.

4 The first printed volume contained fourteen texts (*Saddā ñay* 14 coṇ tvai pāṭh, Yangon 1912). This volume includes: *Saddatthabhedacintā* [cf. RUIZ-FALQUÉS 2017], *Kaccāyanasāra*, *Ekakkharakosa* [cf. SCHNAKE 2021], *Saddavutti*, *Saddabindu* [cf. LOTTERMOSER 1987], *Saddasāratthajālinī*, *Sambandhacintā* [cf. GORNALL 2020: 54, 81], *Vibhatyattha*, *Vaccavācaka*, *Ganthābharaṇa*, *Ganthaṭṭhipakaraṇa*, *Vācakopadesa*, *Kaccāyanabhedā*, *Kārikā* [cf. RUIZ-FALQUÉS 2017; DIMITROV 2022; see also GORNALL and GUNASENA 2018 and SUBHŪTI 1876]. The 14 minor Pali grammars are listed in NYANATUSITA 2011 § 5.4. The latest edition, printed in 1964 and still reprinted today, includes fifteen works, with the *Rūpabhedapakāsinī* added to the former list. This edition was published as part of the editorial project of the Burmese Sixth Council (1954–1956), for which see HAMM 1973 and CLARK 2015: 65.

present paper focuses on one of these minor works, namely Yasa's *Kaccāyanasāra* ("Essence of the *Kaccāyana* [Grammar]"), a Pali rendering of Caṅgadāsa's Sanskrit work called the *Sambandhopadeśa* or *Caṅgakārikā*. Yasa's work is a forgotten testimony of the relevance of Sanskrit scholarship in medieval and early modern Burma. My aim here is to explain the nature and contents of this work and its major commentaries (*porāṇaṭīkā*, *navaṭīkā*, and *yojanā*⁵) against the backdrop of the Sanskrit culture that shaped this branch of Pali-Burmese Buddhist scholarship. I offer this paper to my respected teacher, Professor Eivind Kahrs, who made a pioneering contribution to the study of Pali *vyākaraṇa* in Burma with his work "Exploring the *Saddanīti*."⁶

2. Yasa's *Kaccāyanasāra*, a Pali Version of Caṅgadāsa's *Sambandhopadeśa*

2.1. Author and date

The *Kaccāyanasāra* (henceforth Kacc-sāra) is a short Pali grammatical work comprising seventy-two stanzas in *anuṭṭhubha* (*anuṣṭubh*) metre, written in a terse style that often requires commentarial glosses in order to be understood. Like its Sanskrit model, it abruptly ends after summarising the Taddhita chapter in simply one stanza,⁷ leaving no colophon that could inform us about the author, date, etc. The work is commonly attributed to a certain Mahā Yasa Thera or simply Yasa.⁸ Some sources also ascribe the *Kaccāyanasāra-porāṇaṭīkā* and the *Kaccāyanabhedā* to the same author.⁹ The second major commentary, the *Kaccāyanasāra-navaṭīkā*, is attributed

Other "minor grammars" have been published too, they consist of later, perhaps less authoritative works that are not mentioned in CPD, Bibliography, § 5.4.2.4.

5 For other commentaries I refer to NYANATUSITA 2011: § 5.4.2.4.

6 KAHRS 1992.

7 *sambandhe kārake piṇḍe bhāve gotte paratra ca ||
kathyante taddhitā ruḷhā samāsantā 'byayā api || 72 ||*

"The secondary derivative (*taddhita*) [affixes] are explained conventionally (*rūlhā*) in the sense of relation (*sambandha*), factor of action (*kāraka*), mass (*piṇḍa*), [having the] nature (*bhāva*) [of something], affiliation (*gotta*) and other meanings (*paratra*); and also, after an indeclinable at the end of a compound."

8 SUBHŪTI 1876: § 44, BODE 1909: 36, NYUNT 2012: 78, § 398, NYANATUSITA 2011: § 5.4.2, PETERS 2007: § 1057.

9 Burmese catalogues and bibliographies present contradictory data. In the Piṭ-sm (NYUNT 2012: 78, §§ 398–401) *Kaccāyana-sāra* is attributed to Rhañ Yasa of Pugam (= Pagan); the old *ṭīkā* is wrongly attributed to Sirisaddhammavilāsa of Pugam. The new *ṭīkā* is attributed to an unknown Mahā Thera from Pañh-ya (Pinya) city, and the same goes

to Siri Saddhammavilāsa. Another well-known commentary, the *Kaccāyanasārayojanā*, was composed by a certain Kalyāṇasāra in Burma.¹⁰ Of these works, only the *Kaccāyanasāra* is mentioned in the 1442 inscription of Pagan.¹¹ Subhuti speculates, without giving exact references, that the *Kaccāyanasāra* was probably composed around the 14th century, and he also states that it is later than the *Rūpasiddhi*, *Sambandhacintā*, and *Cūlanirutti*, and earlier than *Kaccāyanabhedaṭikā* (presumably because Kacc-sāra is quoted in that work).¹² On internal grounds, Kacc-sāra must be later than *Mukhamattadīpanī*, *Rūpasiddhi*, and *Saddanīti*.

2.2. Contents

The contents of the Kacc-sāra do not encompass the entire scope of the Kacc grammar. In the 1964 edition, the stanzas are organised in the following six *niddesas* (“expositions”):

- 1–19 *sāmaññaniddesa* (“exposition on generalities”)
- 20–32 *ākhyātaniddesa* (“exposition on verbs”)
- 33–46 *kitaniddesa* (“exposition on primary derivatives”)

for the *yojanā*; the *Kaccāyanabhedā* (§ 402) is attributed to a certain Rhaṇ Mahāyasa of Salay town.

The *Cūlaganthivāṇsapāṭh* by Nandapañña, commonly known as *Gandhavaṇisa* (KUMAR 1992: 12, 25) states that an anonymous teacher composed Kacc-sāra and its *ṭīkā*; the catalogue omits the title Kacc-bheda (Ms. C fol. khū r5–6).

The *Nemintaragandhācariyadīpanī* states that Kacc-bheda and Kacc-sāra were composed by a certain Saya Thera, perhaps a clerical error for Yasa Thera, as the Ms. reads *ghasaya*, where *gha* is very similar in shape to *ya*; the *ṭīkā* is attributed to Siri Saddhammavilāsa from the royal monastery (*kanāḥ-taw-rā*) (Ms. N fol. khī r6–7).

The *Pitakat Kyemon* attributes Kacc-sāra and -bheda to Shin Mahā Yasa of Salay and the Kacc-sāra-ṭīkā-sac (= *navaṭīkā*) to Rhaṇ Siri Saddhamma of Pañh-ya (Ms. K fol. kho-v, col. 3 item #4, col. 4 item #1).

The *Ganthācariyavaṇisa* attributes Kacc-sāra, -bheda and a *ṭīkā* (= *porāṇaṭīkā*) to Mahā Rasa (= Yasa?) of Jambudipa (Burma?) and mentions a *ṭīkā* by Siri Saddhammavilāsa from the royal monastery (*kanāḥ-taw-rā*) (Ms. G fol. pha r1–2).

The *Jinavacananāmābhidheyyadīpanī* states that Kacc-bheda was composed by “a certain grammarian teacher,” and the same goes for Kacc-sāra-ṭīkā; this Ms. omits Kacc-sāra, perhaps because it is included in the *ṭīkā* (Ms. J fol. nih r7–8).

Others maintain that Yasa composed the *Kaccāyanasāra* in Thaton, that is in the Mon country, today's Mon State in the South (cf. BODE 1909: 36–37).

10 For bibliographical sources, see previous note.

11 LUCE and TIN HTWAY 1976: 237.

12 See also BODE 1909: 37.

47–60 *kārakaniddesa* (“exposition on factors of the action” “case syntax”)

61–71 *samāsaniddesa* (“exposition on nominal composition”)

72 *taddhita* (“exposition on secondary derivatives”)¹³

The structure follows Caṅgadāsa’s manual (see § 2.4.). The contents are sometimes adapted to Kacc and other works of the same school, such as the *Rūpasiddhi* and the *Saddanīti*. Very often, however, the stanzas reflect the original text of Caṅ. For instance, Kacc-sāra v. 12 teaches two topics absent in Kacc: one is the usage of the affix *cī* (Skt. *cvī*) with pronouns *amha* and *tumha*, which cannot produce *cvī* forms based on sentences such as *atvāṇi tvāṇi bhavati* “not-you becomes you” and *anahāṇi ahanī bhavati* “not-I becomes I”;¹⁴ the second topic not taught in Kacc is the distinction between the concepts of *upāya* and *upeyya*.

2.3. A Recast of Caṅgadāsa’s *Kārikā*

The literal meaning of the title *Kaccāyanasāra* is “Essence of the *Kaccāyana* [Grammar].”¹⁵ For this reason some scholars have interpreted the title literally, as if the work would consist of a summary of the *Kaccāyana* Pali grammar.¹⁶ However, as Yasa states in the second stanza of Kacc-sāra, his work is modelled on the Sanskrit treatise by Caṅgadāsa¹⁷ (consistently spelled Jaṅghadāsa in Burmese manuscripts and printed editions):

13 GODAKUMBURA (1980: 68–69) divides it into 5 *niddesas* and Subhuti into 7 (cf. SUBHŪTI 1876: § 44); LIEBICH (1895: 295) describes the *Sambandhopadeśa* of Caṅgadāsa as structured in 6 *uddeśas*: (1) Sāmānya-uddeśa (*ślokas* 1–20); (2) Tyādi-uddeśa (21–26); (3) Krt-uddeśa (27–35); (4) Kāraka-uddeśa (36–51); (5) Samāsa-Ūddeśa (52–60); (6) Taddhita-uddeśa (61). This description corresponds to the structure of Kacc-sāra in the Burmese edition that we are using.

14 Kacc-sāra-pṭ 259,^{28–29}.

15 GODAKUMBURA 1980: 68.

16 BODE 1909: 36: “The *Kaccāyanasāra*, as the title shows, is a résumé of or textbook on the teaching of that great grammatical authority.” According to MALALASEKERA 1958 [1928]: 1999, Kacc-sāra quotes extensively from Saṅgharakkhita’s *Sambandhacintā*. To my knowledge, this is incorrect. It is possible that Malalasekera’s statement originated in a confusion, and it should refer to Kacc-sāra-pṭ quoting extensively from *Saddatthabhedacintā*, see § 3.

17 GODAKUMBURA (1980: 68) suggests the same, without identifying the Sanskrit work. I am aware of three printed editions of this text: JAGANNADHASWAMI 1896, quoted by BODE (1909: 107 n. 8), RATHA 1906, and TRIPĀTHI 1910. VERHAGEN (1994: 62) mentions the Tibetan version of the text, accessible online: <https://www.istb.univie.ac.at/kanjur/>

In order that beginners understand the teaching of the Buddha in the language of Magadha, I am going to explain the *Essence of the Kaccāyana [Grammar]*, relying on Jaṅghadāsa's treatise.¹⁸

Caṅgadāsa's *Kārikā* (henceforth Cañ) is a grammatical summary of Sanskrit grammar. Subhuti is of the opinion that this is a summary of the *Kātantra* Sanskrit grammar.¹⁹ Indeed, Kacc-sāra and its commentaries recurrently refer to the *Kātantra* tradition. Liebich, however, argues that Cañ belongs, at least partly, to the Cāndra School²⁰ because it uses two characteristic terms of that system: *vyāpya* instead of *karma* (“direct object”) and *asaṇkhya* instead of *avyaya* (“indeclinable”).²¹ Another clear mark of affiliation is a reference to the *Cāndravyākaraṇa* in the Sanskrit commentary upon Cañ. The reference is found in the example *candropajñāṇa* *vyākaraṇaṇam*, illustrating the rule Candra 2.2.66 (= P 2.4.21).²² This example, originally from the *Cāndravṛtti*, is also preserved in the *Kaccāyanasāraporāṇaṭīkā* (see § 3). In sum, it seems that Cañ draws from both the *Kātantra* and Candra.

Caṅgadāsa's treatise is well-known in Burmese bibliographical sources. Like Kacc-sāra, Cañ is also recorded in the famous 1442 inscription of Pagan recording the donation of a library.²³ It is said that a certain teacher named Cīvara, or perhaps, more likely, Vajira²⁴ composed a Pali *ṭīkā* upon it.²⁵ Whether it was originally in Sanskrit or in Pali, a *Jaṅghadāsa-ṭīkā* is quoted in the *Kaccāyanasāra-navaṭīkā* (Kacc-sāra-nt) by Saddhammavilāsa (see Appendix I and II). Most of Cañ stanzas are included in the Kacc-sāra (see Appendix II), with the expected adjustments in the translation from Sanskrit to Pali and the necessary changes in the technical names of the grammatical items that are mentioned, such as *paccayas* “affixes,” etc.

rktsneu/etanjur/verif2.php?id=D4276&coll=derge [I thank Matthew Kapstein and Dragomir Dimitrov for providing this information].

18 *māgadhikāya balaṇam buddhiya buddhasāsane ||
vakkhaṇi Kaccāyanasāraṇi nissāya Jaṅghadāsakanam || 2 ||*

19 SUBHŪTI 1876: § 44.

20 LIEBICH 1895: 295.

21 Liebich refers to verse 28 for *vyāpya* and 57 for *asaṇkhya*. In Tripāṭhī's edition (see Appendix II) we find *vyāpya* in verse 27: *vyāpye* [v.l. *vyākhye*], with Pali translation *kamme;* and *asaṇkhya* in verse 59 (*asaṇkhyaṭ*).

22 LIEBICH 1895: 295.

23 Cf. LUCE and TIN HTWAY 1976: 240, item #210; see also BODE 1909: 107, item #210. BODE (1909: 107 n. 8) was aware of one printed edition: “Evidently Caṅgadāsa, author of the Caṅgakārikā, aphorisms on grammar (Kātantra school). See edition of Jagannādhaswami, Vizagapatam, 1896.”

24 KUMAR 1992: 12, 25.

25 KUMAR 1992: 12 = Ms. C fol. kū r5–6; KUMAR 1992: 25 = Ms. C fol. kam r5–6. This *ṭīkā* is not mentioned in the 1442 Pagan Inscription.

But Cañ is not the only Sanskrit source used by Yasa. The Kacc-sāra-nṭ informs us that some other stanzas of Kacc-sāra derive from a certain “Sanskrit book” (*sakkata-gantha*). Thus, despite the fact that Kacc-sāra describes Pali usage, it is not exactly a summary of Kacc, and it is greatly indebted to the Sanskrit tradition.

2.4. *Kaccāyanasāra* and *Kaccāyanabhedā*

If Kacc-sāra and Kacc-bheda are works of the same Yasa Thera, both texts must have been part of a project around the *Kaccāyana* grammar. The hypothesis implies that Yasa would have summarised the *Kaccāyana* twice, following two different methods. The Kacc-bheda follows the structure of Kacc in eight chapters, and, as the introduction states, it mainly summarises the types of grammatical phenomena, making a general analysis or typology (*bheda*) together with concise examples (*payoga*).

One peculiar feature of style in this work, missing in Kacc-sāra, is the poetic closure of each section, in which the author expresses the wish that his merits in composing the text will help him to attain better existences and finally *nibbāna*, and he does so by playing with the key concepts of each chapter of the grammar. For instance, at the end of the *sandhi* section, which deals with euphonic pronunciation, he wishes that he will have a good voice in future lives; at the end of the *samāsa* section, he wishes that his merits will abbreviate his time in *saṃsāra*, using for that the term *saṅkhepa* “abbreviation” which is a technical term applied to define *samāsa*,²⁶ and he also plays with the word *bheda*, “separation” / “analysis”, which is part of the analytical project of the grammar, when he expresses the wish not to become separated (*bhejja*) from his assembly.²⁷

In terms of sources, the Kacc-bheda only mentions the *Nyāsa* (= Mmd), the *Nyāsappadīpa* (= Mmd-pt), and the *Rūpasiddhi*,²⁸ the most important commentaries of the *Kaccāyana* school in Burma. These works are never mentioned in Kacc-sāra. It is noteworthy, however, that the Kacc-bheda does not mention the *Saddanīti*, a

26 Rūp 178,: *samāso padasaṅkhepo, padappaccayasaṁhitāñ*

27 Kacc-bheda § 127:

*puññen' ānena saṃsārasaṅkhepakārako bhave ||
abhejjapariso pañño satimā ca bhavābhave || ||*

“By this merit, may I be one who makes *saṃsāra* short, one who is not separated from his assembly, wise and diligent, from existence to existence.”

28 Kacc-bheda § 46:

*chappaññāsaṭṭhasaṭṭhi ca dvādhikanavutīritā ||
Nyāse taddipake Rūpasiddhiyañ ca yathārahāñ || ||*

work that was available to the author of Kacc-sāra.²⁹ The view of the Piṭ-sm, proposing two different authorships for Kacc-sāra and Kacc-bheda,³⁰ is more sensible, in my opinion, in view of the fact that these two texts overlap in many ways, and it is difficult to believe that the same author would have written two different works with the same purpose, but resorting to different grammatical authorities.

3. The Old Commentary (*Kaccāyanasāra-porāṇaṭīkā*)

The authorship of the *Old Commentary on the Kaccāyanasāra* (*Kaccāyanasāra-porāṇaṭīkā*) and its date are uncertain.³¹ The author was not acquainted with the same Sanskrit manuals that were used by his successor Saddhammavilāsa (see § 4). The commentary gives general interpretations of the meaning of the stanza without concentrating too much on each word and also usefully provides examples. The author takes great pains to interpret the text in the light of Pali treatises, not Sanskrit treatises related to the model of Cañ. The *Saddatthabhedacintā* of Sad-dhammasiri, for instance, is quoted at every opportunity in order to amplify the scope of Kacc-sāra.³² Other Pali works, based on Sanskrit models, are also quoted. He cites, for instance, the well-known definition of *mahākabba* “great poem” of Daṇḍin’s *Kāvyādarśa* (ca. 7th c.) via the Pali commentaries on Saṅgharakkhita’s *Subodhālārikāra* (12th c., Sri Lanka).³³

There are some examples from this commentary that I could not trace to Pali grammars, e.g., *turaingo iva imā itthiyo* “these women are like a horse”,³⁴ illustrating a simultaneous *vipallāsa* or “distortion” of gender and number. This *vipallāsa* is allowed in a simile when the point of comparison is a common property (*dharma*), in this case, speed. There is a reference to the Pāṇini school (*pāṇiniyāṇi*),³⁵ a reference to the lexicographical work *Liiṅgatthavivaraṇa*,³⁶ some direct and silent referenc-

29 Kacc-sāra § 30, see § 6.5.

30 See the third note in § 2.1.

31 SUBHŪTI 1876: § 46.

32 Kacc-sāra-pt e.g. 253,_{28ff.}; 256,₂₁₋₂₂; 260,₁₈₋₂₃.

33 Kacc-sāra-pt 250,₁₅₋₁₆ = Subodh-pt 15,₁₇; Subodh-nṭ 20,:
sagga-bandho mahākabbaṇi vuccate tassa lakkhaṇaṇi ||
panāmo vatthuniddeso āsiso pi ca taṇi mukhan ti || ||

The original verse is from KĀ 1.14:

sagga-bandho mahākavyam ucyate tasya lakṣaṇam ||
āśīrnamaskriyā vastunirdeso vāpi tamukham || ||

34 Kacc-sāra-pt 259,₂.

35 Kacc-sāra-pt 262,₁₆.

36 Kacc-sāra-pt 271,₁₄₋₁₈.

es to Moggallāna's *Pañcikā*,³⁷ and one quotation, without attribution, of a passage from *Saddatthabhedacintā-porāṇaṭīkā*³⁸ ad Sbc § 53 introduced with *vuttam hi*.³⁹ There is also one reference to the *Sambandhavutti*, the commentary on Saṅgharakkhita's *Sambandhacintā*.⁴⁰ When discussing Kacc-sāra § 71, the work refers to the Cāndra grammar in the example of the compound *candopaññāṇam* “[a *vyākaraṇa* system] in which the Cāndra is the first [text] to be created”⁴¹ (see § 2.4).

4. The *Sammohanāsanī* of Saddhammavilāsa (*Kaccāyanasāra-navaṭīkā*)

4.1. The author of the commentary

The author of this commentary is Thera Siri Saddhammavilāsa. The colophon tells us that this work was composed in Ava, and catalogues connect the author with some royal monastery (see § 2.1.). According to Waskaduve Subhūti, Saddhammavilāsa was an expert in Sanskrit and lived in a palace constructed by king Pañcasetbha (*sic*) on the bank of the river Erāvati in the kingdom of Sunāparanta.⁴² Saddhammavilāsa criticises the old commentary when he justifies his own endeavour: “[My] commentary on the *Kaccāyanasāra* is called the *Destroyer of Confusion*, and the old commentary⁴³ is not a destroyer of confusion.”⁴⁴ The date of the work is not known, but it is earlier than the mid- 18th century, for it

37 Kacc-sāra-pt 272,_{14–15}.

38 Sbc-pt 28,_{27–30}.

39 Kacc-sāra-pt 275,_{16ff.}

40 Kacc-sāra-pt 275,_{27–29}. For the identification of the title *Sambandhavutti*, see KIEFFER-PÜLZ 2017: 42, n. 87.

41 Kacc-sāra-pt 279,_{6–9}: *candassa upañño candopaññāṇam byākaraṇam ity ādi. atra candassa ādimhi tassa satthassa nāmaṇi. candass' upakkamo candopakkamaṇi byākaraṇam ity ādi. candopaññāṇam ti candassa nāma janassa paṭhamapajānanaṇi. candopakkaman ti candassa nāma janassa paṭhamavāyāmo ti keci.* “The first knowledge [is] of Candra, i.e., the grammar whose first knowledge is of Candra. Here this is a name of that discipline which begins with Candra. The introduction of Candra, i.e., the grammar whose introduction is Candra. The first knowledge is of Candra (*candopaññāṇam*), i.e., the first understanding is of the (i.e. by the) person called Candra. Some say that the word *candopakkamaṇi* means that it is the first effort of that person called Candra[gomin].”

42 SUBHŪTI 1876: § 45.

43 It is also possible to understand the plural “old commentaries,” but quite unlikely.

44 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 281,_{8–9}:

ṭīkā Kaccānasārassa vuccate Sammohanāsanī ||
purātanā ca yā ṭīkā na tā sammohanāsanī || ||

is recorded in a manuscript of the bibliography titled *Piṭakat Samuiñ Maw-kvanh-mhan* copied in 1743 CE.⁴⁵

4.2. The content of the commentary

In terms of content, this commentary is quite unique due to the numerous references to Pali and Sanskrit works. We have collected them in Appendix I of this paper. Although the author was familiar with Sanskrit works, we cannot know to what extent some of the references are mediated through other Pali scholarly texts. For instance, *Saddhammavilāsa* refers to the *Sāratthavilāsinī* for a famous stanza on the *apādāna kāraka*,⁴⁶ a stanza that originally comes from *Vākyapadīya* and reached the *Sāratthavilāsinī* probably through the mediation of Buddhapippiya's *Rūpasiddhi*.⁴⁷ Importantly, the *navaṭīkā* contains abundant parallels from the original Caṅgadāsa, consistently spelled “Jaṅghadāsa”. These are slightly different from the versions of Yasa, as it is shown in Appendix II.

5. Kalyāṇasāra's *Kaccāyanayojanā*

5.1. Contents, Style, and Authorship

In the standard modern Burmese edition of the *ṭīkās* on the minor grammars, the *Kaccāyanayojanā* follows the old and new *ṭīkās*. This commentary does not go beyond the syntactic reorganisation of the words of the stanzas in order to make them more sensible. The reorganisation is always introduced by the formula *tatrāyam yojanā*. Unlike the other two commentaries, this work lacks erudition. It seems that the author of this commentary, a certain Kalyāṇasāra, of whom we know practically nothing except that he was perhaps from the region of Pagan in Burma,⁴⁸ was aware only of one *ṭīkā*, which he calls simply by that name and seems to cor-

45 Ms. M fol. kī r8.

46 *Sāratthavilāsinī* (Mogg-p-t 361,₂₆₋₂₇).

47 Cf. *Vākyapadīya* 3.7.136 (RAU 2002: 230), cited in Pali at Rūp § 308 introduced with *vuttañ ca*.

48 Cf. PETERS 2007: § 1057.

respond to the *porāṇaṭīkā*.⁴⁹ It mentions an *ācariya* Jaṅghadāsa but nothing more.⁵⁰ It offers useful notes with regard to the attribution of the views reflected in the verses: whether they all belong to the same school of thought or they represent different “parties” (*pakkhas*) in the scholastic debate.⁵¹ There is a reference to a certain *niddesa* that I could not trace to the *Suttaniddesa* of Saddhammajotipāla, but to *Rūpasiddhi* (§ 293) when glossing the word *pubbādiyoge*.⁵² The commentary is overall shallow and redundant, probably designed for novices (*sāmañeras*). The colophon states that the author is a dweller of a village called Pavābhū (or Pavābhu), a word that may be corrupt and I have not been able to trace. If he is the same Kalyāṇasāra who wrote the *Sucittālaṅkāra*, a summary of the Abhidhamma, his birthplace could be the village Nāḥi-ram-’ui near Pu-khanh-krīh (thus Anne Peters speculates that he could be identical to one Nā-ran-’ui charā tō).⁵³

5.2. Meaning of the title *Kaccāyanasāra*

This commentary ends with a paragraph giving an exegesis of the title *Kaccāyanasāra*, interpreting the term *sāra* as the *uttamavinicchaya* “the final judgement” of the *Kaccāyanasāra* book, or as relating to the verb *sarati* “to remember” : *sotujanehi sari-tabbo cintitabbo ti sāro* “it should be remembered, i.e., reflected upon by students, therefore it is called *sāra*. ”⁵⁴

6. Further Notes on the *Kaccāyanasāra* and Its Major Commentaries

6.1. Absence of *avadhi* in *taddhita*

In Kacc-sāra § 4 it is argued that there is no *apādāna taddhita* formation, literally no “*avadhi*”, that is to say, a secondary derivative based on the ablative relation.

49 Cf. Kacc-sāra-yo 390,₂₂; 401,_{15–16} = Kacc-sāra-pt 259,₂₇; untraced quotation from another, or the same *ṭīkā* (?) at Kacc-sāra-yo 410,₂₅: *kammassā ti kammatthachaṭṭī yeva ṭīkāyanī dassitāṇī*.

50 Kacc-sāra-yo 391,_{4–7}.

51 Kacc-sāra-yo 404,₁₂; *panasaddo pakkhantaro*; 405,₆; *keci vādamī dassetum*; 411,₂₇; *idāni saddassa niccatām dassetum*.

52 Kacc-sāra-yo 414,_{17–18}: *ettha ca ādisaddena niddese dassitabbayogamī nātukāmā passantu sotujanā*.

53 Piṭ-sm § 288, PETERS 2007: § 1057.

54 Kacc-sāra-yo 421,_{20–28}.

The description corresponds to the picture we have in Kacc and Kacc-v, where examples of ablative *taddhita* are missing. Kacc-sāra-pt, however, states that according to Sbc, *taddhita* can be formed with all *kārakas* and it just so happens that the *apādāna-taddhita* is very rare. See Sbc § 226 and its old commentary:

*pabhavanti asmā hetumhā ti pabhavo. hetu. iminā apādāne pāyena
payogāni, appakena pana bhavanti buddhisaddā etasmā ti bhāvo.*

“It is the cause from which [things] originate (*pabhavanti*), that is why it is called ‘source’ (*pabhava*), [meaning] ‘cause’ (*hetu*). Most examples of *apādāna* are in this way, but only a few examples resemble the following case: ‘it is called ‘state’ (*bhāva*) because that is where the existence of abstract words (*buddhisaddā*) comes from.”⁵⁵

Saddanīti § 760 also has an example of *taddhita* based on the ablative relation: *pabbatato pakkhannā nadī pabbateyyā* “because [these] rivers flow from the hill (*pabbata*), they are called ‘hilly’ (*pābbateyya*).”⁵⁶

6.2. The definition of parokkhā

In Kacc-sāra § 23, we find a definition of *parokkhā* (Skt. *parokṣā*, “past perfect”, lit. “beyond one’s direct experience”) which deviates from Kacc and yet reflects Kacc and Kacc-v’s sources, particularly the *Kāśikāvṛtti* and the *Kātantravṛtti*. Kacc-sāra-pt gives one example that we do not find in Pali grammars: *nāham kalingam agamim*,⁵⁷ cf. Kāt-v ad Kāt Ākhyāta 3.1.16 (EGGELING ed., 158,₁₅): *nāham kalingam jagāma* = Kāś ad P. 3.2.115. The *Kāśikā* example represents the answer to the question: *kalingeṣu sthito si?* That is reflected in the Old Commentary: *kim bhavam kalinge titthati ti kenaci puṭṭho sakataṇi pi paccakkhaṇi kalingagamanam acchādanaṇi karoti ti* “Asked by someone: ‘Have you been to Kaliṅga?’, he hides the fact that he went to Kaliṅga, even though he actually did [lit. he himself experienced that].”⁵⁸ The Kāt-v *ad locum* states: *nāham kalingam jagāma, iti gamanadoṣabhayāt pratyakṣam apy apahnute* “Someone, out of fear that he made a mistake, hides the fact, even though it is true [lit. he experienced that], saying ‘I didn’t go to Kaliṅga.’” Thus, the entire discussion

55 Sbc-pt 91,₂₄₋₂₆.

56 Sadd 785,₇₋₈: A III 64,₄.

57 Kacc-sāra-pt 264,₂₀.

58 Kacc-sāra-pt 264,₂₂₋₂₃.

is related to the idea that the past perfect cannot express one's own experience of a fact, but it may be used precisely when one is concealing a personal experience.

6.3. Unique definition of *ajjatanī*

In § 24 we have a unique explanation of *ajjatanī* (Skt. *adyatani*, “aorist”, lit. “the past of today”), including the precise description of the span of time that is comprised in *ajja* “today”.

*atitarattiyā yāmo pacchimoddham⁵⁹ amussa vā ||
bhāviniyā dippahāro tadaddham vā jja tehy aho || 24 ||*

The day which is called “today” (*ajja*) includes the present day together with the last watch (*yāma*) of the past night, or half of it, and the first watch of the next night, or half of it.

This definition is missing in Kacc and its major commentaries. The stanza is modelled on an unidentified Sanskrit source in versified form (see Appendix II, Kacc-sāra § 24, fn.). The original source of the verses is most probably Kāt-v ad Kāt Ākhyāta 3.3.16, (EGGELING ed., 159,₁₋₃):

adyatane tīte mātre dyatani, adyabhāvo dyatanaḥ, ā nyāyyād utthānād ā nyāyyāc ca samveśanād ahaḥ, ubhayato rdharātram vā lokataḥ siddham.

“*adyatani* ‘aorist’ is the past extending only today (*adya*). ‘Extending today’ means ‘existing today’. A day is known by social convention [as the span of time] from the customary (*nyāya*) getting up [in the morning] up to the customary (*nyāya*) going to bed [at night]; or, alternatively, [a day is the extent] between both halves of the night [i.e., the last half of the previous night and the first half of the following night].”

6.4. Philosophical Stanzas of Sanskrit Origin

Some stanzas, directly translated from Cañ, are of a philosophical nature. Consider, for instance, the following:

59 Ms. F *pacchimaddhyam*.

Where prime material (*pakati*) and processed material (*vikati*) are both expressed, the prime material is considered to be the direct expression (*vācaka*), because of its preeminence.⁶⁰

The result of this philosophical principle, applied to Pali syntax, is that in a sentence where there is a conflict between the number of the item representing the prime material and the item representing the processed material, prime material will control the number of the verb. The examples given by the Kacc-sāra-pṭ are: *paṭo bhavanti tantavo* “the threads are the cloth” and *bīraṇāni kariyante kaṭo* “the clumps of *bīraṇa* grass are made a [into a] mat.”⁶¹

Some of these verses are rather abstract in style, and they cannot be understood without a commentary. The section on *kita* (“primary derivatives”), for instance, begins with verses that, contentwise, adhere to the Kacc text, but they develop into philosophical verses that are not part of the Kacc literature received by Yasa. They illustrate the semantic entanglement of all the grammatical elements of a sentence and also the conventional nature of the grammatical concepts:

This *bhāva* (“impersonal action”), being the meaning of the affix, is undetermined without that [meaning of the verbal root]. Also, the meaning of the verbal root [is undetermined] with regard to the rest [of the factors, such as *kattā*, etc.]. Thus, because of that, all meanings [of *bhāva*, *kattā*, etc.] are collective. The division, namely: “This is the meaning of the verbal root, that is the meaning of the affix,” is purely conventional [lit. it only applies during the time there is a convention].⁶²

Consider also this other stanza, the source of which is probably not Buddhist:

This light called “word” is without beginning. If a word is not clear to someone, its meaning is made clear to him by means of the [grammatical] science, like a lamp which is/was covered/hidden.⁶³

60 *pakatī vikatī c'āpi yatra vuttam̄ dvayam pi ca ||*
vācako pakatisarīkhyam̄ gaṇhāti ssā 'padhānato || 7 ||

61 Kacc-sāra-pṭ 258,₈₋₉.

62 *paccayattho pi bhāvo 'yam̄ vinā ten'āpasiddhito ||*
dhātūrattho pi sese; 'vam̄ cayattho tena sabbako || 40 ||
sariketakālam ev'āyam vibhāgo sampavattate ||
attho 'yam̄ pakatiy'eso paccayattho it'īdiso || 41 ||
anādir ayam āloko saddakhiyo yassa na pphuṭo ||
byañjate tassa satthena dīpo va gatiy'āvuto || 43 ||.

Interestingly, the examples that we have cited are not adaptations from Cañ, but from a certain “Sanskrit book” (*sakkatagantha*)⁶⁴ that we have not been able to identify.

6.5. Influence of the Saddanīti

In Kacc-sāra § 28, the term *sādarānādarā* refers to the sense of *vidhi* “command” in the *sattamī* “optative.” This is not found in Kacc or its major commentaries, except in the *Saddanīti* with reference to *niyoga* (not *vidhi*).⁶⁵ The same goes for the example *purā dibbati asaddhammo* (“in the past the wrong Dhamma was shining”) in Kacc-sāra § 30.⁶⁶ The example is borrowed from Sadd § 874 *yāva-pure-purāyoge 'nāgate* “[the present endings are used] in the sense of past when in connection with [the adverbs] *yāva*, *pure* and *purā24 the example is *pure adhammo dippatti [= dibbati]* (cf. Sp I 6,₂).⁶⁷*

7. Concluding Remarks

The present preliminary study, including the tables that I attach in the two appendices, shows how the *Kaccāyanasāra* of Yasa was a Pali version of Sanskrit versified treatises of the *Kātantra* and *Cāndra* schools. I have also shown how the title *Kaccāyanasāra* may be misleading, for it is not simply a summary of *Kaccāyana*, but it includes rules and principles drawn from many more Sanskrit and Pali sources. The development of the *Kaccāyanasāra* and its commentarial literature, especially the *Sammohavinodanī* of Saddhammavilāsa, exemplifies the long process of assimilation of Sanskrit *sāstra* in Pali for the sake of Burmese monastic education. For a few centuries, at least, not only the Kacc-sāra was studied, but scholars who

The stanza is reminiscent of *Vākyapadīya* 1.132 and Dāṇḍin KĀ 1.4. I thank Professor George Cardona and Professor Madhav Deshpande for the references.

64 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 347,₂₃₋₂₄; *Sakkataganthe pi esā eva gāthā vuttā* “In the Sanskrit book, too, this same stanza is stated.”

65 Sadd 813,₃₁-814,₂.

66 The first two *pādas* of Kacc-sāra 30 correspond to Sadd § 874 (cf. Kāt-v Ākhyāta, 3.1.17; P 3.3.4) and Sadd § 876 (cf. Kāt-v Ākhyāta, 3.1.17; P 3.3.5).

67 In Kacc-sāra-pt 266,_{7,9} the following stanza is quoted without explicit attribution with the formula *vuttam hi* “for, it is stated”:

pure-yāva-purā-yoge niccaṇi, vā karahi kadā ||
lacchāyam api kiṇi-vutte vattamānā bhavissatī ti || ||

studied it had also access to its sources, and in fact, we find alternative renderings of Cañ in Kacc-sāra-nṭ.

Yasa's claim is that his grammar is intended for students of Pali and, theoretically, it should be helpful in the study of canonical literature. The actual implications of Yasa's statement, in my opinion, are quite unclear. To a great extent, one would think that works such as Kacc-sāra are not really useful to study the canon directly, particularly when they teach topics that only apply to Sanskrit. But then one should explain why treatises such as Kacc-sāra became of such importance in the tradition and are still part of a scholastic canon in Burma.

As to the process of Sanskrit *śāstra* assimilation in Burmese Pali culture, it transcended the philological sciences and encompassed all sorts of disciplines, from cosmology to law.⁶⁸ Some of the Pali treatises based on Sanskrit models arrived from Sri Lanka, but the process of translation and assimilation continued over the centuries in Burma itself. As our understanding of Sanskrit culture in the region is still fragmentary, we can only hope that this paper will contribute to a broader discussion regarding the role of Sanskrit *śāstric* knowledge in Buddhist communities of Southeast Asia.

Appendix I

Authorities Mentioned in the Kaccāyana-sāra-nava-ṭīkā

- ācariyā ācariyo 328,₂₂; Kaccāyana° 337₂₀; °paramparā 247,₁₂; 297,₈; 298,₃; 300,₁; 303,₂; 304,₃; 312₁₂₋₂₈; 314_{2,10}; 324_{5,20}; pubba° 334₂₈; 350₁₄; 352,₄; 354₁₂; 358_{17,26}; Buddhapi-ya° 325,₂₁; Rūpasiddhi° 349,₁₉; sabbācariyavāda 325,₁₈; atthakathā °ācariya 363,₁₅; Netti° 293,₂₄; 297,₁; 329,₁₄; 365,₅; Suttanipāta° 366,₃ Atthabyākhyāna 297,₈; 308,₁₁; 313,₁₂; 322,₂₇; 334₁₃₋₁₅; 349,₁₅ Amarasiha (= Amarasiṃha) 394,₁ Kaccāyana 281,_{10,15}; °ācariya 337₂₀; °sāra 282,₁₀; 284,₂₇₋₂₈; 285 *passim*; 289,₁₆; 298,₂₉; 312,₁₈; 320,₁₄₋₁₅; 325,₁₀; 331,₂₉; 395,₁₄; °sutta 348,₂₃; 348,₂₆; 361,₅; 369₂₇₋₂₈; 372,₂₈; 393,₂₇ Kātanta (= Kātantra) 286,₄; 323,₂₄; 345,₁₈; 347₂₇₋₂₈; 348_{5,22-23}; 361,₅; 365,₂ Kātanta-pañcikā (= Kātantra-pañcikā) 286,₇; 299,₁; 312,₇; 371,₁₃ Kātanta-byākhyāna (= Kātantra-vyākhyāna) 285,₁; 286,₆₋₇; 289,₁₄; 293,₄; 295,₁₃; 299,₁; 311,₆; 312,_{7,11}; 313,₆; 317,₁₆; 319,₂₄; 323,₁₈₋₁₉; 327,₁₃; 330,₉₋₁₀; 331,_{12,27-28}; 334,₆; 335,₂₉; 336,_{9,13}; 338,₂₉; 339,₁₃; 348,₁₈; 355,₂₆; 356,₅₋₆; 360,₈; 365,_{14,20}; 371,_{13,18}; 382,₂₈₋₃₀ Kāsikā (= Kāsikā) 289,₁₄; 372,₁₉

68 See RUIZ-FALQUÉS, D'HUBERT, LAMMERTS and KIRICHENKO, forthcoming.

Khuddasikkhā-ṭīkā 372,₁₇

Canda (= Cāndra) 286,₇; 289,₁₄; 296,₉; 334,_{10,11}; 371,_{13,18}; 372,_{19,28}

Cūlanirutti 291,₉

Jānghadāsa (= Caṅgadāsa)

(1–2)⁶⁹ 286,_{1–2} *vuttañ ca Jānghadāse*

(4) 291,₂₂ " "

(5) 305,_{9–10} " "

305,_{12–13} *⁷⁰

(6) 306,_{8–9} " "

307,_{23–24,26–27} *vuttañ hi Jānghadāse*

(9) 310,_{4–5} *vuttañ ca Jānghadāse*

310,₉ *tena vuttam Jānghadāse*

310,_{10–11} *

(10) 310,_{24–25} *vuttañ ca Jānghadāse*

(11) 311,_{22–23} " "

(12–13) 313,_{9–10} " "

314,_{15–16} " "

(14) 316,_{3–4} " "

(15) 316,_{19–20} " "

316,_{22–23} *

(18) 319,_{27–28} " "

(19) 321,_{17–18} " "

(21) 326,_{1–3} " "

(22) 327,_{11–12} " "

(29–30) 335,_{18–19} *Jānghadāse pana*

(36) 341,_{25–29} *vuttañ ca Jānghadāse*

342,₂ " "

(38) 344,_{11–12} " "

(39) 345,_{23–24} " "

(44) 348,_{16–17} " "

(48) 356,_{8–9} " "

(53) 365,₄ " "

Jānghadāsa-ṭīkā 294,₈; 308,₁₂; 312,_{7–8,11–12}; 314,_{22–23}; 318,_{3–4}; 323,_{20–22} (*gāthā*); 327,_{13–22}

(*gāthā*); 329,_{19–20}; 335,_{28–29} (following the method of *Kātantra-vyākhyāna*); 336,_{9–11}

(following the method of *Kātantra-vyākhyāna*); 339,₂₁; 340,_{29–30}

Jinindabuddhi (= Jinendrabuddhi) 354,_{14–17}

69 Round brackets () indicate number of stanza in Kacc-sāra.

70 * Introduced only with *vuttañ ca* after an explicit quotation from *Jānghadāsa*.

- ṭīkā** 297,₂; *taṭṭīkāyamī* (= Nett-ṭ) 294,₆₋₇
Niruttibyākhyāna 363,₁
Niruttimañjūsā 290,₈₋₁₂
Nyāsa (= Mukhamattadīpanī) 290,₁₄; 308,₁₁; 337,₂₀; 353,₂₇; 369,₁₃; 388,₂₈
Pāṇini < pāṇinīya 393,₂₈₋₂₉
Buddhapiya 325,₂₁
Moggalāna 289,₁₅
Ratanasiri (= Ratnaśrī?) 327,₃₋₄; 353,₅₋₇; (≈ Ratanapadi: 286,₆)
Rūpasiddhi 293,₁₈; 312,₁; 325,₁₁; 334,₁₉; 349,₁₉; 380,₂₃; 389,₁₅
Sāratthavilāsinī (= Moggallānapañcikāṭīkā) 300,₆₋₈ (referred to as *Sāratthavisesaniyamī*, read °vīlāsinīyamī); 331,₇₋₁₁; 353,₁₋₃; 355,₂₋₃; 356,₁₄₋₁₆, 18-24; 357,₁₀₋₁₂; 361,₂₅₋₂₇; 357,₁₀₋₁₂; 361,₂₅₋₂₇; 363,₁₈₋₁₉; 365,₂₂₋₂₃; 391,₁₆₋₁₈
Sumaṅgalavikāsinīṭīkā (= Sumaṅgalavilāsinīṭīkā?) 297,₄

Formulae:

Sakkataganthe ca esā eva gāthā vuttā

(32) 337,₁₃

(42) 246,₂₈

Jaṅghadāse pi esā eva gāthā vuttā

(33) 339,₂₄

(37) 342,₂₄₋₂₅^{*71}

(47) 350,₁₈

(62) 379,₁₉*

(63) 380,₂₃

(64) 382,₁₇

(65) 383,₁₉

(66) 386,₂₅₋₂₆

(68) 390,₁₄₋₁₅

(71) 393,₂₅

71 * The word *gāthā* is omitted.

Appendix II

Kaccāyanasāra

Caṅgakārikā

[with Pali versions from Saddhammavilāsa's *Kaccāyanasāra-nuvaṭīkā*]

munindakko tamam moham hantvā bodhesi pañkajam ||
janam saddhammatamśhi so sampāletu manjino || 1 ||
māgadhiḥkāya balaṇam buddhiyā buddhasāsane ||
vakkhān Kaccāyanasāram nissāya Janghadāsakam⁷² || 2 ||

[LIEBICH 1895; 294:

jāyante yad anudhyāmād bhajatām vāgvibhūtayah ||
vibhūr jayati mañjuśris caturmārārisanah || 1 ||⁷³

kattādo yattha ēkhyātām⁷⁴ kitaramās' assut taddhitam ||
sa vutto tattha pathamā athamattavivachayā || 3 ||
yasmīn arthe vidhiyante tyādayavādītādhitih ||
samāso vā bhaved yātra sa uktah⁷⁵ prathamā tataḥ || 1 ||
yasmīm atti vidhiyante tyāditabbādhitaddhitā ||
samāso vā bhaveyyum 'tra sa vutto pathamā tato ti || ||⁷⁶

uktasyārthatasya gṛhanti samikhyānam tyādayavādītādhitam
lin̄gasānkhāvibhaktis tu kṛttaḍdhitasamāsakāḥ || 2 ||
[vuttassa tassa ganhanti saṅkhyānam tyādayo param ||
lin̄gasānkhāvibhakti sākāti ||]⁷⁷

72 Ms. F. Jangadāsakam, *read caṅgadāsakam*.

73 *Tripiṭīti's edition (1910: 1) includes an attached slip of paper, covering the title of the work, with this extra first stanza, thus having 2 stanzas with the number 1. In Liebich's note this stanza is numbered 1 and the following one (yasmīn arthe ...) is numbered 2.*

74 Ms. F. mākhyātām

75 So LIEBICH 1895; 294; TRIPATI 1910 reads uktā.

76 Kacc-sāra-ṇṭ 286,₁₋₂

77 Kacc-sāra-ṇṭ 305,₉₋₁₀

dvayor nāmno rāmhiūnām vā yadi vākyam samuccaye ||
angācayāśritā samkhyā tyādyādau vā viśesane || 3 ||

[dvinnam vā tena nāmānam yadi vākyam samuccaye ||
angācayāśita sankhyā tyādyādo visesane ti ||] ⁷⁸

kattukammāni ākhyātām taddhitām 'vadhivajite' ⁷⁹ ||

sāmivajje kitsamāso 'khile jātivisesake || 4 ||

kitādi ⁸⁰vācakā nāma gonā te ca visesanā ||

vuttas' ādi parām saṅkhyām sesā lingādigāhino || 5 ||

nāmānañ ce caye vākyam gonaśaṅkhyā cayangasā || 6 || ⁸¹

pakati vikati⁸² c' āpi yatra vuttam dvayam pi ca ||

vācako pakatisaṅkhyām gaṇhāti 'ssā 'padhanato || 7 ||

prakṛter vikṛter vāpi yatrotkām dvaṇḍvayor api ||

vācakah prakṛtes saṅkhyām gr̥hṇāti vikṛter na tu || 4 ||

[pakatiyā vikatiyā dvinnā ca yatra vuttatā ||

saṅkhyāpakaṭiyā ty ādi gaṇhāti tarissā na tū ti ||] ⁸³

78 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 306,_{8,9}.

79 Yoj vajjate.

80 Yoj kitādi.

81 Yoj reads this verse with the following as 6 pādus, cf. Kacc-sāra-yo 399,₁₄; sāddhapaḍagāthā.

82 Yoj pakati vikati.

83 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 306,₂₆₋₂₇.

viśeṣena sahaikārthaṁ bhaved yatra viśeṣanam ||
tatra liṅgādayah prāyo viśeṣaṭhā viśeṣane || 5 ||

[viśesena sah' ekātham bhave yatra viśeṣanam ||
tatra liṅgādikā pāyo viśesyatthā viśesane ti ||] ||⁸⁴

liṅgasamkhyāvibhede 'pi upamānopameyatā ||
vibhaktih punar ekāva upamānopameyayoh || 6 ||

[liṅgasankhyādibhede pi upamānopameyayatā ||
vibhatti pana ekā va n̄eyyā tabbhavededinā ti ||] ||⁸⁵

bhedo viśeṣanam bhedyam viśesyam tam dvayam yadi ||
tulyatthaṁ bhedakam bhiiyo bhedyalin̄gādigāhakam || 8 ||

sādhammasādhanam siddham asiddhass' opamānakam⁸⁶ ||
upameyyam asiddham tam⁸⁷ dvayam ekavibhaktikam || 9 ||

payukte gamyamāne vā ukte yuṣmadi madhyamah ||
tathasmady uttamās tābhyaṁ anyatra prathamo bhavet || 7 ||

[payutte gamyamāne vā vutte tumhamhi majjhimo ||
tath' amhamuttamo tehi sesamhi pathamo bhave ti ||] ||⁸⁸

84 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 307,₂₀₋₂₁.

85 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 310,₄₋₅.

86 Ms. F opamānakam.

87 Ms. F Yoj asidhdhantam.

88 Kacc-sāra-nṭ 310,₂₄₋₂₅.

ekakālābhūdhānamhi vuttusu nāma ādisu paro va puriso hotī ⁸⁹ dhātuto pāthamādisu 11 ⁹⁰	[ekadā ce kriyākālam vacanam patipādanam dhator nāmādisūkte yah parah sa pumān bhavet 8
cyatthanidditthe tunhamhe na sakā purisā siyam upāyo pādiyahayyo upeyyam tena sādhyam 12	[ekadā ce kriyākāle vacanam patipādanam namādikesi vuttusu yo paro sa pumān bhavet tī ⁹²
gatibuddhyāsanasadākammakañāñ ca karite bhajādināñ ⁹⁴ ca yo kattā kammañāñio sadhātunam ⁹⁵ harakkarābhivādinam disajjhoharatissa vā 13	[yadā cipaccayatthena nidditthā tunha-amphakā tesam dvīvamī payogena dhātuyā mājñinuttamā tī ⁹³
	[yatibudhyāsanarthanam śabdārthānām akarmanām bhaved ani ca yah kartā nice asau karmasanjñakah 10 hukror anici yah kartā nice asau karma vā bhavet ātmane viṣaye tadvad abhivādīdīsor api 11
	[haradhātussa vāce va kattā akārite yo so kammañ vā kārite bhavē abhivādīdisanam pi attano visayē tathā tī ⁹⁶

89 Ms. F yoti.

90 Kacc-sara-yo 400,₂₄₋₂₅: idāni imasmīn sabbesam ekābhīdhāne paropariso ti bhaddanta-kaccāyanatherena vuttāmarūpattam dassetum; cf. Kacc § 411.

91 TRIPATI 1910 ed.

92 Kacc-sāra-ñt. 311,₂₂₋₂₃.93 Kacc-sāra-ñt. 313,₉₋₁₀.

94 Yojbhejjādināñ.

95 Rend dhātūnam.

96 Kacc-sāra-ñt. 314,₁₅₋₁₇.

nikhādāvhadasaddaya kandānam sambhave na sā	nikhādihvādisabdāya krandām karttā na karmakah vahāniyan tu hetumhi bhakkhiss' āhimsane pi ca 14
padhānam nivahādinam appadhānam duhādinam	[nīkhādavhādasaddāya kandākattā na kammaso vahasārathi hetumhi bhakkhiss' āhimsane pi cā ti] ⁹⁷
kāritānam ⁹⁸ sakām kammām vaccām karttā payojako 15	pradhānam nivahādinām druhāder upasarijanam karmasv yanijantānam vācyam karttā prayojakaḥ 13
abhāvā abhidheyassā ¹⁰⁰ aññād apy āha ¹⁰¹ kammaio	[padhānam nivahādinam duhādinopasajjanam sakammam kāritānam vaccām karttā payojako ti] ⁹⁹
kāladdhabhāvadese tu n' ābhidhāty aññāsambhave 16	abhāvāv abhidheyasya anyād apy āha karmajāḥ nābhidhātē tu kālādī karmāno 'nyasya sambhave 14
kitākhyātavisesyehi yanī vuttām tam̄ pākāsatī	[abhāvā abhidheyassā aññād apy āha kammaio nābhidhātī tu kālādī kammassa 'ññāsā sambhave ti] ¹⁰²
visesanāuttamāpi vuttām v' ādabbahhedato 18	antabhbhāvadesānām kammata 'kammakehi ve yad evoktaṃ viśeṣena tyādinā yadi vā kṛtiḥ
	viśesanakriyāñuktaṃ ¹⁰³ yad uktām tad bhaved iti 15
	[yad eva vuttām viśeṣena tyādināthā kitena vā visesanām kriyāñuttamāpi uttām tam bhave iti ti] ¹⁰⁴

97 Kacc-sāra-nt 316₃₋₄. *Read nīkhādihvādi?*

98 Ms. F kāritānam.

99 Kacc-sāra-nt 316₁₉₋₂₀.

100 Yoj abhāvārbhidheyassā; Kacc-sāra-yo 403₃; etha rakāradakārā āgamā yeva.

101 *Footnote in the Kacc-sāra 1964 edition*, p. 35: * aññām apy āha – katthaci.

102 Kacc-sāra-nt 318₂₄₋₂₅.

103 Trpāthī 1910 viśesanakriyāñukta.

104 Kacc-sāra-nt 319₂₇₋₂₈.

vuttam pi vuttatām¹⁰⁵ yāti padantarehy anuttatām ||
kvac' ānuttatām pan' ānuttatām vuttañ¹⁰⁶ c' āpi padantare || 19 ||

uktam evoktātām yāti kvacid uktam anuktatām ||
anuktam api coktam syāt kvacid¹⁰⁷ eva padāntaraiḥ || 16 ||

[vuttam ev' uktatām yāti kvaci' nūttam anuttatām ||

siyā' nūttam anuttatām kvaci padantarehi tū tū ||] 108

parassa kattari yeva attano pama tisu pi ||
vikaraṇa tu sabbe¹⁰⁹ pi katvatthe sabbadhātuke || 20 || 110

parasmai vaktii kattāram trin arthān ātmane padam ||
bhāvāpy avihite sarvadhatuke yam gatir bhavet || 17 ||

sarve vikaranāḥ kartvīhitē sārvadhatuke ||

ād yat anyām vibhaktau sicsan syāt kāt̄karmānoḥ || 18 ||

[parassa āha kattāram tayo 'the attanopadam ||] 111

bhāvavikarmākate sabbadhātukāyo ayan̄ bhave ||
sabbavikarāṇā kattuvīhitē sabbadhātuke tū ||] 112

105 Ms. F Yoj vuttattam.

106 Ms. F ānuttam uttañ.

107 TRIPĀTHI 1910 kkacid.

108 Kacc-sāra-ñ 321, 17-18.

109 Ms. F bhabbe.

110 Kacc-sāra-ñ 323, 20-22. vuttañ ca jaṅghadāsati kāyam:

ārambhena kriyākattu anāyāsenā sijjhati ||

guṇena kammuno yassa kammakattāti tanvidū tū || ||

111 This line is also quoted at Kacc-sāra-ñ 295.²²

112 Kacc-sāra-ñ 325,₃₋₅

asabbadhātuke py esu kiñci ichantī paccayam ||
paccuppannādikālesu vattamānādayo kama || 21 ||

cañanau kartari syātām ic prāyo bhavakarmānoḥ ||
svastani syasiastiḥsu bhavi karmāni cej vad it || 19 ||
hanigrahidśisibhyāś ca svaraṇteḥyō vibhāṣayā ||
atipattiḥ paroksā ca hyastany acyatāniti ca || 20 ||
catastro ’titakāle syur vartamānā¹¹³ tu samprati ||
bhavisyati bha viṣyanti āśisvarthato bhavet || 21 ||

[hiyyattanyātipatti ca parokkhā ca ajjatanī ||
catasso ’titakālesu vattamānā tu sabbadhi ||
bhavissati bhavissanti pañcamī sattami tisū ti || 1 ||]¹¹⁴

113 TRIPATHI 1910 vattemāna.

114 Kacc-sāra-ṇṭ 326₁₋₃.

[This stanza is missing in our printed edition. Conjectural reconstruction based on Kacc-sāra-nt:]

āraddhā¹¹⁵niñthito bhāvo paccuppanno suniñthito ||
 atīt' āñagat' uppādañ appattābhīmukha kriya || 22 ||¹¹⁶
 dīthādñnam abhāve ca tisu ñeyyā parokkhata || 23 ||

atiñtarattiyā yāmo pacchimoddham¹¹⁸ amussa vā ||
 bhāviny' ādippahāro tadañdhām vā 'ja tehy aho || 24 ||¹¹⁹
 Katassārane kattu accantacchādane pi ca ||
 na khādati ayan manisañ kilānt' iha kumārakā ||

115 Ms. F āraddho.

116 Kacc-sāra-nt 327,¹¹³⁻²²; tena Kātantabyākhyāñāñdisu vuttanayena Jānghadāsañikādisu
 vuttan.

pavattāparato c' eva pavattavirato tathā ||
 niccapavatto sāmīpo vattamāno catubbidho || ||
 na khādati ayan manisañ kilānt' iha kumārakā ||
 pabbatā iha tiñthanti lakkhāñam pubbattayass' idam || ||
 āgato 'si kadā tvam bho esā gachchāmi 'han ti' dām || ||
 āgamanam pajānāti tidhā sāmippadassanām || ||
 gacchissasi kadā tvam bho esā gacchāmi 'han ti tu' || ||
 gamanam me pabodhanā gatasāmippadassanan ti || ||
 atīte pi bhavissanti payoge sativācanām || ||
 na yamīyoge tu sākarikhe vikappena bhave dvisū ti || ||

117 Kacc-sāra-nt 327,¹¹¹⁻¹².

118 Ms. F pacchimadñhyam.

119 Kacc-sāra-nt 331,¹¹⁸⁻²⁰;
 vuttan ca Sakkataganthē:

yāmo nisāy' atītāya pacchimo 'ddham amussa vā ||

viruddhahetuyogā vā hetuvekallato pi vā ||
 kriyānam abhāvo va kriyatippannam iritan || 25 ||

[Sakkataganthe:
 viruddhaasannidhāna vā hetuvekallato pi vā ||
 kriyāya bhāvanām yantam kriyatippannam iritan ti || || 120]

tañ ca dvīdhā bhūtam bhāvī bhūtam sampūṇnakārañā ||
 bhāvī bhāvatippannā tu kutoci liṅgadassanā || 26 ||

[Sakkataganthe:
 bhāveyyāśisanañ eti patthanā eva mādikāñ ||

sādarāñadarā puccā kiṁ evam sampadhbārañām || 28 ||
 kriyākālavivacchāyām vattamāñdayo 'khilā ||
 yathā sakālam uppānā saddiantaratasamāgamā || 29 ||
 pacchā kālantaram brūte padasankhārakālato ||
 pura dibbaty asaddhammo ity adikam idam matam || 30 ||

[Sakkataganthe:
 vidhiniyogo sakkāro sakkarasalito dvividhā ||
 kiṁ evam so karotū ti sampucchāsampadhbārañān ti || || 121]

padantarena yo nāto na so 'ttho bādhako bhave ||
 padasankhārabhāvissa athassa bāhirāngato || 31 ||

[Sakkataganthe:
 padantarasamāyoga attaruppam yad uccate ||
 na tam bādhakam athassa padasankhārabhāvino ||

abhangatāya pacchā tu bāhirāngam apekhitān ti || || 122]

pahāro bhāvāniyādi tadaddham api vā tathā ||
 tad eva tadadhīmajhō kālo ajjatano mato ||
 tannisedhena yo añño mato n' ajjatano pabhūti || ||
 vuttañ ca Sāratthavilāsiniyam:
 gatāya pacchimo yāmo pacchimaddham amussa vā ||
 pahāro n' āgatāyādi tadaddham api vā tathā || ||
 vutta kālavadhīmajhō kālo so 'jjatano mato ||
 tannisedhena yo tv añño so anajatano mato ti || ||
 Kātantabyākhyāne pana pubbanayo va āgato.

120 Kacc-sāra-ñt 331,²⁴⁻²⁵

121 Kacc-sāra-ñt 335,²²⁻⁵

122 Kacc-sāra-ñt 336,²⁴⁻²⁷

yathā vivaccham ev' āyam sabbā sad dathasāññitī ||
 sid dhalakkhyānuśarena vivacchā ^{py} anugamyate || 32 ||

bhave kammani kicc' āhu, khattāpi pacayā tathā ||
 anītathā¹²³ pi kvaci kiccā, yutu sabbamhi sādhane || 33 ||

saddattharucatādīhi¹²⁴ silādisv api¹²⁵ kattari ||¹²⁶
 nītuāvi ca sabbehi,¹²⁷ silādisv api¹²⁸ kattari || 34 ||¹²⁹

[Kacc-sāra-nṭ 339,2; Jaighadāsepi esā eva gāthā vuttā]

- 123 Yoj aññatrā.
 124 Ms. F saddatthaghusarucāhi.
 125 Ms. F °ādisu pi.
 126 Kacc § 535.
 127 Ms. F yunītuāvisabbehi.
 128 Ms. F °ādisu pi.
 129 Kacc § 534.

bhāve kammanī bhūte yo¹³⁰ ḥāsāvasaruhājārā ||
 sjanisili¹³¹ sārambhā kattari ca akammaka || 35 ||
 gamanathā tathādhāre āhārathā ca dhātuto ||
 bhāvamatte tu dhātumhā avivacchitakammaka || 36 ||

gatyarthāt kṭo bhaved bhūte bhāvādhyādhārakatṛṣu ||
 avyayāt kartari ktā syād yadi vadharabhlāvayoh || 26 ||
 bhāve 'dhirakarṇe vyākhye [redacted vyāpye] bhakṣārthāt ktāḥ
 prakīrtitah ||
 bhāvamātre punar dhātor avivakṣitakarmakāt || 27 ||
 śliṣṭah śinovasojuṣāḥ sahāsthājanaruhaṇparah ||
 triṣuktaḥ syāttathārambhe śesbhyah karmaṇi smṛtaḥ || 28 ||

[vuttañ ca Jaṅghadāse:

siliṣajanaṭhā asaruhājarāvasāpāra ||
 vasutossa lathā 'rabbihe ṣeyyo sese hi kammani || 1 ||
 gatyathāt bhāve bhūte bhūkamadhārakattusu ||
 akammā kattari 'kkossabhāvo¹³² ādhārake pi ca || 1 ||
 bhāve 'dhirakarṇe kamme takkhatheto paktitto ||
 dhātuto bhāvamatte tu avivacchitakammaka ti ||]¹³³

tavantu-ṇvu-tu-āvī tu sabbasmā¹³⁴ api kattari ||
 pāyaso rādayo bhāve, keci tesv api kārake || 37 ||

anantaravidhēyo 'sya sarvasmād api kārake ||
 bahusv ajādibhāvesu ke 'pi tesv api kārake || 29 ||

[Kacc-sāra-nt 342,₂₄₋₂₅; Jaṅghadāse pi esā eva gāthā vuttā]

kitabhāvo dabbam iva tena kammādayo api ||
 pāyō saty api kammasmīm bhāvatha¹³⁵ vihitā kitā || 38 ||

dravyam iva kṛdbhāve syāt tena dvivacanādy api ||
 prāyah satyām abhivyāptau bhāvārthavihitāḥ kīṭah || 30 ||

[dabbam va kitabhāvo yan̄ bahuvacanam ādayo ||
 kamme sati pi pāyena bhāvārthavihitā kīṭā ti ||]¹³⁶

130 Yoj°tto; Kacc-sāra-yo 409₁₆; tattha karāsisakammakadhatumhā pavatto to tapaccayo.

131 Ms. F °sīla.

132 Rend akkosabhāvo?

133 Kacc-sāra-nt 341₂₄-342₂.

134 Yoj sabbasmār-api kattari; Ms. F -r seems to be a correction by a later hand.

135 Ms. F bhāvatta.

136 Kacc-sāra-nt 344₁₁₋₁₂.

kriyānam ekakkattūnam bhāve tv-ādi purā¹³⁷ kriyā ||
 tum tu bhāve tadatthā¹³⁸ ce¹³⁹ icchathādikriyā pi ca || 39 ||

[ekakkattākriyānekā ce tadā pūrvakālatāḥ ||
 bhāve ktādiramusmiṣ tu tadartha cet kriyā para || 31 ||

[ekakkattākriyānekā ce tadā pubbakalato ||
 bhāve tv adī parasmīn tu tadatthā ce kriyā para ti ||]¹⁴⁰

[Sakkataganthe:

vidhyabhāvē yathā bhāvo dhatunā va na gamyate ||
 dhatvabhāvē pi n' ev' āyam vidhiṇā va patiyate ||¹⁴¹]

[Kacc-sāra-nt 346,¹⁴² : Sakkataganthe pana vibhajitvā vuttā]

paccayatho pi bhāvo 'yam vinā ten' āpasiddhito ||
 dhātūratho pi sesi; 'yam cayattho tena sabbako || 40 ||

sanketakālam ev' āyam vibhāgo sampavattate ||
 attho 'yam pakati' eso paccayattho if' idiso || 41 ||

sidhhasaddā¹⁴² nuvēdo 'yam ato eva na sijhate ||
 itarefarato sidhī lakkhyalakkhanikā kvaci || 42 ||

anādir ayam āloko saddakhyo¹⁴³ yassa na ppnuṭo¹⁴⁴ ||
 byañjate tassa satthena¹⁴⁵ dīpo va gratiy' āvuto || 43 ||

mānatā vattamāne ca lakkhaṇe hetuke siyūṇi ||
 kitā dhātvrathasambandhe honti kālāññabhbhāvino ti ||]¹⁴⁶

[Kacc-sāra-nt 347,¹⁴⁷ : Sakkataganthe pi esā eva gāthā vuttā]

nvulṭcaprabhṛtayah sarve disyante kartṛvācinaḥ ||

śatśānackv asu caiva sāpeksāḥ syuḥ kriyāntare || 32 ||

137 Ms. F pūrā.

138 Ms. F tadatthe cor. tadatthā.

139 Yojca; Kacc-sāra-yo 411,₁₄; purākriyā ca icchathādikriyāpi ca ce atthi.

140 Kacc-sāra-nt 345,₂₃₋₂₄.

141 Kacc-sāra-nt 346,₈₈₋₉ : Sakkataganthe:

142 Ms. F saddhā.

143 Ms. F saddakkho.

144 Ms. F ppuṭo.

145 Ms. F suttena.

146 Kacc-sāra-nt 348,₁₆₋₁₇.

kitak' abhidheyyalingā, iyuvannā varādijo¹⁴⁷ ||
 apaccayo ḡo^{*148} dutyo ipaccayo pume siyūm || 45 ||
 yu c' ākātari, bhāvasmīn kicā, to ca napuṇṣake ||
 tavādikkhattumantā tu abyayānāmaka siyūm || 46 ||¹⁴⁹

yatr' atthe no vidhiyante¹⁵⁰ ty adippabhuṭayo ca te ||
 so 'nutto, tatra kammādo dutiyādi^[5] yathārahaṇ || 47 ||

atrāṭhe nābhīdhīyante syādiprabhṛtayaś ca te ||
 so 'nuktas tatra karmādau dvitīyādyā yathāyatham || 34 ||

dvitīyā karmanī proktā trītyā kartṛkārake ||
 karane ca bhavet saiva caturthī sampradānataḥ || 35 ||
 pacīcami syād apādāne ṣaṣṭhi sambandhamātrake ||
 karmakartrīḥ kṛitaṁ yoge dvayoh prāptau na kartari || 36 ||
 vāghānāder akākāre dvayor api bhavadiyām ||
 kṛtyānām na dvayor eva vā tu kartari kevale || 37 ||
 niṣṭodantātāyayokañ na śat्रā na kva subhir na sā ||
 khalarthe bhāvinīnāke niner yogād rne 'pi na || 38 ||
 vā tu kartari bhāvē ktam̄ satruṇā kartari dvīṣāḥ ||
 kṛtio yatne kṛdākhyāte hrīmās cānuṣṭau tathā || 39 ||
 smṛtiḥṁśārthayor evam triptyarthakarane 'pi vā ||
 ädhāre varttamāne ca ktaṣya sā kartṛkarmajōḥ || 40 ||

ktasya cet prakṛitavyāpye kriyācīhnām ca yā kriyā || 41 ||
 tataḥ sanādare ṣaṣṭhi api nirdhāraṇāt tathā || 42ab ||

147 Ms. F varādijo.

148 *Footnote in the Kacc-sāra 1964 edition*, p. 37: *ṇo ti bhāvē cā tī suttēna vihītanappaccayo
va.

149 Stanzas 45–46 seem to summarise Kaccāyana's *kita* and *kicca*.

150 Yoj vidhiyante.

151 Ms. F dutiyādi.

kriyappe dutiyā kamme ghanayoge khanaddhani ||
 kammappavacanīy' ena-tv-antehi c' ubhayādibhi¹⁵² || 48 ||

karmapravacanīyaiś ca dvitiyā samayādibhīḥ || 42cd ||
 uparyādibhir abhyastais tasantair ūbhayādibhīḥ ||
 pratipratimdhau parivartte pañcamī pratinayutāt || 43 ||

[kammappavacanīyena dutiyā samayādihī ||
 toanteh' ubhayādhi uparādhi sā bhave ti ||] ¹⁵³

sakantasādhhakatamā kattukaranākarakā ||
 tatiyā tesu hetvatthe sattamyā lakkhane pi ca ||
 visesane sahatthe ca *yoge* pubbādinā pi ca || 49 ||
 pūjanugahakamena yassa sandiyate dhanan̄ ||
 laddhasāmīttakam tam hi sampadānan ti kittitam ||
 catutthī sampadānē ca yutte namo ti ādinā || 50 ||
 kriyāvadhīr¹⁵⁴ apādānānam tasmin̄ ca pāñcamī siyā ||
 kattukammānam ādhdāto nissayānām kriyāya yo || 51 ||
 okāso sattami tamhi kriyālakkhyakriyāya vā¹⁵⁵ ||
 ippakatita¹⁵⁶ kamme ca kamme gahādinām pi ca || 52 ||
 kāle nimittे karane pindacarād¹⁵⁷ dhātūnām ||
 adhīnā sattamī sāmye upen' ādhikimā pi sā || 53 ||
 visesanavisesyānām aññamaññām apekkhatā ||
 sambandho ca; tasmīn̄ chatthī kityoge kammakattari || 54 ||

152 Yoj *om.* ca, ubhayādihī.

153 Kacc-sāra-nt 356₈₋₉.

154 Ms. F °adhi apa° cor. °adhitapā°. Yoj pāda a: kriyāvadhīyapādānam.

155 Ms. F Yoj ca.

156 Yoj ipakatita.

157 Yoj pindaccarādi; Kacc-sāra-yo 415₂₁ pindacarādi°.

na kattari dvaye patte nvy-uy-ti-nam tu vā bhavet ||
 thi¹⁵⁸ anyunam dvayे, kicce n' eva¹⁵⁹, kattari eva vā ||
 nitthadīnam na hoty eva bhāve vā tassa¹⁶⁰ kattari || 55 ||

[This stanza is missing in our printed edition. Conjectural reconstruction based on Kacc-sāra-nt:
 śasthi bhavati sambandhe krdaye karmakartstu ||
 karme kartari prāpte tu karmaiva sarvadā bhavet ||||
 ṣṇvulādim āha gākāre dvayor api bhaved ayam ||
 dvayor eva na kṛtyāmāṇ kartari eva vā bhavet ||||]

[chatthī bhavati sambandhe kityoge kammakattusu ||
 kamme kattari patte tu kamme vā sabbadā bhave ||||
 nyadino āha gakāre dvisu api bhave ayam ||
 dvisu eva na kiccanam kattari eva vā bhave tí || ||] ¹⁶¹

disino antino kamme karino yattane pī ca ||
 haratiss ānukāre pī satihimsathhadhātunam || 56 ||
 dayatiss' icchatissā pī¹⁶² tityatthe¹⁶³ karane pi ca ||
 ādhārake bhave niccam, kammakattari tassa tu ||¹⁶⁴ 57 ||
 sattami nādare sāmi¹⁶⁵ api niddhārane tathā ||
 tatiyā pañcamī c' eva puthunānāpayogato || 58 ||

tataḥ sānādare sāsthi api nirdhāraṇāt tathā || 42ab ||
 adhinā sapitamī svāmye upenādhikināpi sā ||
 tritiyā pañcamī caiva pṛthaṇ nānāpravayogataḥ || 44 ||

158 Ms. F Yoj ti = Kacc-sāra-pt 273,₂₈₋₂₉: tianyvunam itthilinge vattamānānam
 akāranyupaccayānām.

159 Yoj evam.

160 Be yassa, em. tassa.

161 Kacc-sara-nt 370,₁₆₋₁₉, ascribed to Jaiḍghadīsa.

162 Ms. F ḍissā dhi.

163 Ms. F tityattha.

164 Ms. F pādas cd: ādhārakārake tassa nīccan tu kammakattari.

165 Yoj sāpi.

rite tu dutiyā sā¹⁶⁶ pi vinaññatrehī tā pi ca ||
chaññī hetupayoge tu hetv atthe suddhanāmato || 59 ||

stokādēh karañe 'satvādrñāddhetor guṇo ttu vā ||
yadarthan kiñcid eva syāc caturthi sampradānatāḥ || 45 ||
yasmai kupyati tasnāc ca nama-ādibhir apy asau ||
vyāpye 'nadhvaniçeshhayām gatyarthānam vibhaṣayā || 46 ||
manyater apy anāvādaū nādarō yatra gamyate ||
pañcamī ca dvitīyā ca rie yuktādiyam dvayī || 47 ||
vinayuktaś ca sāpi svāt trtiyā ca viśesataḥ ||
trtiyā lakṣaṇe hetau sahārthena ca yuktatāḥ || 48 ||
saññī syāddhetunā sarvā hetvarthañ sarvanāmataḥ || 49ab ||

sabbanāmā tu sabbā va kriyānippahatti¹⁶⁷ karañā ||
goṇamukhyappabhedena kammādikārakā siyum || 60 ||

[Cf. Kacc-sāra-nt 376₁₀₋₁₅:

vuttañ ca Sakkatagarthe;

visesanaviseṣyānam abhinnañthapadatthānam ||
samāśo iha samñneyyaṇa taddhitō pi ca tādise tī || ||
vuttañ ca vimalamatinā:

viseṣyassa viseṣanam iti tam yuttam uccate ||
samāśadi tad ev' assa taddhitopattir eva cā tī || ||

dutiyādī samāśyante yatra nāmapadehi so ||
parehi tu tappuriso viseṣy' atra parām bahum || 62 ||

satasatpurusa ity ukto yat parām tat param bahu || 50 ||
viseṣanam viśeṣyena ekātthām yadi tam dvayam ||

sakarmadhārayas tasmin prāyah purvavisēṣanam || 51 ||

[Kacc-sāra-nt 380₂₂; Jaighadāse pi esā eva vuttā]

taddhitatthe samāhāre uttarasmin pada pare ||
samāśyante digu yatra sankhyā sanikhyeyavācibhiḥ || 52 ||
ubhe tappurisā vutta, kāriyañ ce tadā sayaiñ ||
lakkhatām lakkhyalakkhesu lakkhyalakkhanadassinā || 65 ||

yatr' ānekapadā¹⁶⁸, ṣñatthe bahuḥbhi samuccate¹⁶⁹ ||
sarūpā yadi vā yuddhe visaya disāya vā || 66 ||

[vuttañ ca Kātante:

yatr' assu ve Ṣadāni dve yadi v' assu bahūni pi ||
tāni aññāpadatto so bahuḥbhi ti vediyō ti ||]¹⁷⁰

pūbbam visesanañ tatra saññāti nīthantam pi ca ||
paran tu āvudhattehi¹⁷¹ vibhāś' āgyāhitādisu¹⁷² || 67 ||
samāharetaritarayoge¹⁷³ nāma samuccayō ||
dvando pūbbam bhavet tatra accitappasaram bahum || 68 ||
vibhātyādo 'byaya yatra so 'byayibhāvo issate¹⁷⁴ ||
parādayo pañcamiyā, chaṭṭhiyā oramādayo || 69 ||
chaṭṭhi tappurise rājamanussehi parā sabhā ||
pandake sālamatthe pi¹⁷⁵ chāyā bāhullapubbikā || 70 ||

[Cant 55-56 are missing in TRIPATHI 1910]

yatrāne kam parasyārthe bahuḥbhi sa ucyate ||
sarūpe yadi vā yuddhe disām nāmnividis yapi || 54 ||

168 Ms. F °pada° = Kacc-sāra-pt.

169 Ms. F sa uccate.

170 Kacc-sāra-nt 386,₁₋₃

171 Be āvudhattehi, em., following Kacc-sāra-pt.

172 Yojāgyāhitādisu; cf. Mnd 255,₂,

173 Yoj °tareyoga.

174 Ms. F isyate.

175 Ms. F pi cor. ca.

176 TRIPATHI 1910 vāhulya°.

vā 'ssu senā surā¹⁷⁷ sālā nīsa¹⁷⁸ chāyā īme pana ||
 upañño¹⁷⁹ pakkamā niccaṇ tādāditta¹⁸⁰ ppakāsane || 71 ||
 sambandhe karake piṇḍe bhāvē goṭe paratra ca ||
 kathyante¹⁸¹ taddhita rulhā samāsantā 'byayā api¹⁸² || 72 ||

upajñōpakkramau nītyam tādādityaprakāsane || 58cd ||
 rātrānhau pum̄si vijñeyā vahosudinapunyataḥ ||
 yatho 'sankhiyāt parah klivena korver iti darsitam || 59 ||
 sambandhe karake vrnde bhāvē goṭe paratra ca ||
 kathyante taddhita rūḍhāt samāsantavyayā api || 60 ||

177 Ms. F sūrā.

178 Ms. F nīsā.

179 Ms. F upaññā.

180 Ms. F Yoj tādādissa.

181 Yoj pathyante; Kacc-sāra-yo 421,¹⁷⁷; pathyante kathyante ti.

da.

182 Ms. F dapi cor. pi ca. Probably dapi is a wrong reading of api, the letter a being confused for da.

References

Primary sources

Manuscripts

- Ms. C = *Cūlaganthivāṇīsapāṭh* by Nandapañña, Yangon, University Central Libraries, UCL Acc. 8418. Date: unknown. This is the same text commonly known as *Gandhavaṇīsa*, see KUMAR 1992.
- Ms. F = *Kaccāyana-sāra*. Burmese Script. Fragile Palm Leaves Collection, identifier: FPL1365, fols. ka-r 1.1—ki-v 1.3. Date: CS 1239 = CE 1877.
- Ms. G = *Ganthācariyavaṇīsa*, Yangon, University Central Libraries, UCL Acc 10052. Date: CS 1228 = CE 1866.
- Ms. J = *Jinavacananāmābhidheyyadīpanī*, compiled by PAJĀSĀRA THERA, Yangon, University Central Libraries, UCL Acc 7420. Date: CS 1292 = CE 1930.
- Ms. K = *Piṭakat Kreḥ-hmum* by Piṭamu/-mha? Sayadaw. Probably from Yangon, University Central Libraries, UCL Acc 1003. Date: CS 1264 = CE 1902.
- Ms. M = *Piṭakat Samuiṅ Maw-kvanlī-mhan* by Kyaw Aung caṇī thāḥi Charātaw, Yangon, Universities Central Library, UCL Acc 5325. Date: CS 1105 = CE 1743.
- Ms. N = *Nemintaragandhācariyadīpanī*, Yangon, University Central Libraries, UCL Acc 14873. Date: CS 1187 = CE 1825.

Printed editions

- A (*Ānguttaranikāya*): *Ānguttaranikāya*. Vol. III. R. MORRIS. London 1897.
- Caṇ (*Caṅgakārikā*): *Caṅgadāsa Kārikā*. DINEŚA S. TRIPĀTHI. Pāṭaliputra 1910.
- Cāndra-vyākaraṇa. See LIEBICH 1895.
- CPD (A Critical Pāli Dictionary, begun by V. TRENCKNER, ed. D. ANDERSEN, H. HENDRIKSEN, H. SMITH, L. ALSDORF, K. R. NORMAN, O. v. HINÜBER, O. PIND. Vols. 1–3, Fasc. 8. Copenhagen and Bristol 1924–2011). Online version: <https://cpd.uni-koeln.de/search>.
- Gandhavaṇīsa. See KUMAR 1992.
- JAGANNADHASWAMI 1896: S. P. S. JAGANNADHASWAMI, *Saṃbandhopadeśa*, commonly called *Caṅgakārikās*. Vizagapatam 1896.
- Kacc (*Kaccāyana*): *Kaccāyana* and *Kaccāyanavutti*. OLE HOLLEN PIND. Bristol 2013.
- Kacc-bheda (*Kaccāyana-bheda*): Saddā ṇay 15 coṇ pāṭh. Rankun 1964 (reprint of 1954 edition): 157–172.
- Kacc-sāra (*Kaccāyana-sāra*): Saddā ṇay 15 coṇ pāṭh. Rankun 1964 (reprint of 1954 edition): 34–40.
- Kacc-sāra-nṭ (*Kaccāyana-sāra-navaṭīkā*): Saddā ṇay ṭīkā pāṭh, Vol. 1. Rankun 1928: 281–296.

Kacc-sāra-pṭ (Kaccāyana-sāra-porāṇaṭīkā): Saddā nay ṭīkā pāṭh, Vol. 1. Rankun 1928: 249–279.

Kacc-sāra-yo (Kaccāyana-sāra-yojanā): Saddā nay ṭīkā pāṭh, Vol. 1. Rankun 1928: 297–422.

Kacc-v (Kaccāyanavutti). See Kacc.

Kāś (Kāśikā-vṛtti): Kāśikā: a commentary on Pāṇini's grammar by Vāmana and Jayāditya. ARYENDRA SHARMA. [Saṃskṛtapariṣadgranthāvalī. 17]. Hyderabad 1969–1985.

Kāt (Kātantra):

(1) The Kātantra, with the Commentary of Durgasiṁha. JULIUS EGGERLING. Calcutta 1874–1878.

(2) Kātantravyākaraṇa of Ācārya Śarvavarmā, with Four Commentaries: Vṛtti and Ṭīkā by ŚRI DURGA SINGH; Kātantravṛttipañjikā by Śri TRILOCANADĀSA; Kalāpacandra by KAVIRĀJA SUŠENAŚARMĀ; Samīkṣā by the editor. JANAKA PRASAD DWIVEDI. Varanasi 1997–2005.

Kāt-v (Kātantra-vṛtti). See Kāt.

Mmd (Mukhamattadīpanī = Nyāsa): Nyāsapāṭh. Yangon 1933.

Mmd-pṭ (Mukhamattadīpanī-porāṇaṭīkā = Nyāsappadīpa = Saṃ-pyaṇ-ṭīkā): Saṃpyaṇ-ṭīkā-pāṭh. Yangon 1914.

Mogg-p-t = Moggallānapañcikā aphvaṇ. Sārathavilāsinī maññ so Moggallān pañcikā ṭīkā kui Abhayārāma charā tō Arhaṇ Aggadhammābhivamṣa mahāther mrat cī raṇ saññ. Pāli charā Charā Taṇ, Charā Pu, Kui Kyō Nñvṇ. tuṇ. krīh krap praṇ chaṇ saññ. Rankun: Mrui jambū. mit chve piṭakat pum nhip tuik 1413 (1955).

Piṭ-sm (Piṭakat-tō-samuiñh): Catalogue of the Piṭaka and Other Texts in Pāli, Pāli-Burmese, and Burmese (Piṭakat-tō-sa-muiñ:) by Maṇ:-krī: Mahāsirijeya-sū. PETER NYUNT. Bristol 2012.

RATHA 1906: RĀMACANDRA RATHA, Cāṅgakārikā. Cuttak 1906.

Rūp (Rūpasiddhi): Padarūpasiddhi. Yangon 1940.

Sadd (Saddanīti): Saddanīti, la grammaire palie d'Aggavamṣa. Vol. III: Suttamālā. HELMER SMITH. Oxford 2001 (reprint of 1930 edition).

Sbc (Saddatthabhedacintā): Saddā nay 14 coṇ tvai pāṭh. Yangon 1912.

Sbc-pṭ (Saddatthabhedacintā-porāṇaṭīkā): Saddā nay ṭīkā pāṭh, Vol. 1. Yangon 1928: 1–137.

Sp (Samantapāsādikā): Samantapāsādikā. Buddhaghosa's Commentary on the Vinaya Piṭaka. J. TAKAKUSU and M. NAGAI. London 1924.

Subodh-nṭ (Subodhālaṅkāra-navaṭīkā): Subodhālaṅkāra. Porāṇa-ṭīkā (Mahāsāmi-ṭīkā) by Saṅgharakkhita Mahāsāmi, Abhinava-ṭīkā (Nissaya) (anonymous). PADMANABH S. JAINI. Oxford 2000.

Subodh-pṭ (*Subodhālaṅkāra-porāṇaṭīkā*). See Subodh-nṭ.

TRIPĀTHĪ 1910, see above Cai.

Vākyapadīya: WILHELM RAU, Bhartr̥hari's Vākyapadiya. Versuch einer vollständigen deutschen Übersetzung nach der kritischen Edition der Müla-Kārikās. Herausgegeben von OSKAR VON HINÜBER. [Akademie der Wissenschaften und der Literatur. Abhandlungen der Geistes- und sozialwissenschaftlichen Klasse, Einzelveröffentlichung. Nr. 8]. Stuttgart 2002.

Secondary sources

BODE 1909: MABEL HAYNES BODE, The Pali Literature of Burma. London 1909.

CLARK 2015: CHRIS CLARK, A Study of the Apadāna, Including an Edition and Annotated Translation of the Second, Third and Fourth Chapters. PhD dissertation, University of Sydney 2015.

DIMITROV 2022: DRAGOMIR DIMITROV, Towards an *editio princeps* of Ratnaśrijñāna's *Śabdārthacintā*. Or: How I Learned Stop Worrying and Love the Gaps. *Nagoya Studies in Indian Culture and Buddhism: Saṃbhāṣā* 38, 2022: 1–41.

GODAKUMBURA 1980: C. E. GODAKUMBURA, Catalogue of Oriental Manuscripts, Xylographs Etc. in Danish Collections, Vol. 1: Catalogue of Ceylonese Manuscripts. Copenhagen 1980.

GORNALL 2020: ALASTAIR GORNALL, Rewriting Buddhism: Pali literature and monastic reform in Sri Lanka, 1157–1270. London 2020.

GORNALL and GUNASENA 2018: ALASTAIR GORNALL and AMAL GUNASENA, A History of the Pali Grammatical Traditions of South and Southeast Asia by Vaskaḍuvē Subhūti (1876), Part I. *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 33 (2018): 1–53.

GORNALL and RUIZ-FALQUÉS 2019: ALASTAIR GORNALL and ALEIX RUIZ-FALQUÉS, Scholars of Premodern Pali Buddhism. In: Brill's Encyclopedia of Buddhism, Vol. II. Lives. Ed. by JONATHAN SILK, RICHARD BOWRING, VINCENT ELTSCHINGER, and MICHAEL RADICH. Leiden 2019: 420–436.

HAMM 1973: FRANK RICHARD HAMM, On Some Recent Editions of the Pāli Tipiṭaka. In: German Scholars on India: Contributions to Indian Studies. Varanasi 1973: 123–135.

HERNSENG 2020: VEN. PANNABHOGA HERNSENG, The Rūpa- and Khandhātta-pariccheda of the Saccasāṅkhepa: A Study of the Text in its Burmese Transmission. Together with an English Translation and Notes. PhD dissertation, Mahidol University, Bangkok 2020.

KAHRS 1992: EIVIND KAHRS, Exploring the Saddanīti. *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 17 (1992): 1–212.

- KIEFFER-PÜLZ 2015: PETRA KIEFFER-PÜLZ, Vinaya Commentarial Literature in Pali. In: Brill's Encyclopedia of Buddhism, Vol. I. Literature and Languages. Ed. by JONATHAN SILK, OSKAR VON HINÜBER and VINCENT ELTSCHINGER. Leiden 2015: 430–441.
- 2017: id., Saṅgharakkhita Mahāsāmi's Oeuvre Based on Intertextual Links in his Texts. *ARIRIAB* 20 (2017): 23–55.
- KUMAR 1992: BIMALENDRA KUMAR, *The Gandhavaṇīsa* (A History of Pali Literature). Delhi 1992.
- LAMMERTS 2018: D. CHRISTIAN LAMMERTS, Buddhist Law in Burma. A History of *Dhammasattha* Texts and Jurisprudence, 1250–1850. Honolulu 2018.
- LIEBICH 1895: BRUNO LIEBICH, Das Cāndra-vyākaraṇa. *Nachrichten von der königl. Gesellschaft d. Wissenschaften z. Göttingen, Phil.-Hist. Klasse*, 3 (1895): 272–321.
- LOTTERMOSER 1987: F. LOTTERMOSER, Minor Pāli Grammar Texts: The Saddabindu and Its 'New' Subcommentary. *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 11 (1987): 79–109.
- LUCE and TIN HTWAY 1976: GORDON H. LUCE and TIN HTWAY, A 15th-Century Inscription and Library at Pagan, Burma. In: Malalasekera Commemoration Volume. Ed. by O. H. DE A. WIJESEKERA. Colombo 1976: 203–256.
- MALALASEKERA 1958: G. P. MALALASEKERA, The Pāli Literature of Ceylon. Colombo 1958 (reprint of 1928 edition).
- NYANATUSITA 2011: BHIKKHU NYANATUSITA, Reference Table of Pali Literature. Kandy 2011 [Printed together with: RUSSELL WEBB, An Analysis of the Pali Canon]. Electronic version: http://gretil.sub.uni-goettingen.de/gretil_elib/Nyt008_Nyanatusita_ReferenceTableOfPaliLiterature.pdf.
- NYUNT 2012, see Primary sources, Printed editions, Piṭ-sm.
- PETERS 2007: ANNE PETERS, Birmanische Handschriften, Teil 6. Die Katalognummern 1016–1200. [Verzeichnis der Orientalischen Handschriften in Deutschland. XXIII,6]. Stuttgart 2007.
- PIND 2012: OLE HOLLEN PIND, Pāli Grammar and Grammarians from Buddhaghosa to Vajirabuddhi: A Survey. *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 31 (2012): 57–124.
- RUIZ-FALQUÉS 2017: ALEIX RUIZ-FALQUÉS, The Role of Pāli Grammar in Burmese Buddhism. *Journal of Burma Studies* 21.1 (2017): 1–96.
- 2019: id., Purifying the Pātimokkha. Pali Grammar and Buddhist Law in 17th-century Hamsāvatī. *Buddhism, Law and Society* 4 (2019): 93–128.
- RUIZ-FALQUÉS, D'HUBERT, LAMMERTS and KIRICHENKO (forthcoming): ALEIX RUIZ-FALQUÉS, THIBAUT D'HUBERT, D. CHRISTIAN LAMMERTS, ALEXEY KIRICHENKO, A Faultless Science: Dandin and Dharmadasa in Burma and Bengal. In: A Lasting Vision: Dandin's Mirror of Literature in the World of Asian Letters. Ed. by YIGAL BRONNER, DAVID SHULMAN and CHARLES HALLISEY. Oxford (forthcoming).
- SCHNAKE 2021: JAVIER SCHNAKE, Pali *ekakkharas* Revisited. *Journal of the Pali Text Society* 34 (2021): 125–149.

SUBHŪTI 1876: WASKADUWĒ SUBHŪTI, Introductory Section. In: *Nāmamālā*; or a Work on Pāli Grammar. Colombo 1876.

VERHAGEN 1994: PIER C. VERHAGEN, A History of Sanskrit Grammatical Literature in Tibet. Leiden 1994.

Other Abbreviations

Be Burmese edition

Candra *Cāndra-vyākaraṇa*

CE Common Era

CS Cullasakkarāj

KĀ *Kāvyādarśa*

P Pāṇini

Skt. Sanskrit

Yoj Kacc-sāra *kārikās* recorded in Kaccāyana-sāra-yojanā's text

